

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 6609 OF 2016

MANIK KISHANRAO GAVHANE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Shri Jogdand V.B. h/f Shri Kanade A.L
AGP for Respondents 1 to 3 : Shri Tambe S.K.

...
CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
Dated: January 16, 2018
...

PER COURT :-

1. Being called upon to cite the provision under which the complaint dated 28.11.2014 was filed by the petitioner, learned counsel submits that no specific provision, either under the Seeds Act, 1966 or Seeds (Control) Order, 1983, was mentioned. Learned AGP submits that there is no provision for an agriculturists who is aggrieved by the quality or productivity of a seed purchased by him, to seek cancellation of the license of a manufacturing company, without there being any provision to do so.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits, on instructions, that the petitioner would seek compensation by approaching the District Consumer Redressal Forum and the time spent in this Court may be considered as a good ground for condonation of delay, if any.

akl/d

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2 - WRIT PETITION NO. 6609 OF 2016

3. Considering the above, the petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the District Consumer Redressal Forum within four weeks from today and in the event of any delay, the time spent by the petitioner in this Court from 24.4.2016 till the passing of this order shall be a good ground for condonation.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...