

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

WRIT PETITION NO.8618 OF 2012

Dnyandeo s/o Mahadu Surase .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Others .. Respondents

...

Shri D.R. Jaybhar, Advocate, h/f Shri D.A. Bide, Advocate for petitioner

Mrs V.S. Chaudhari, Assistant Government Pleader, for respondents – State

Shri S.P. Sonpawale, Advocate for respondent no.4

...

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH &
P.R. BORA, JJ.

Dated : January 30, 2018

PER COURT :

1. The petitioner has moved this court seeking mandamus to respondents to decide the application made for rental compensation as annexed to the petition at page no.102 at Exhibit 'C', dated 30.01.2012.

2. In view of aforesaid, the petition can be disposed of by direction to respondents no.2 & 3 or any other authorized Officer of respondent no. 2 to decide the application tendered by the petitioner referred to above, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of six months from today.

3. As such, respondents no. 2 & 3 or any other authorized Officer of respondent no.2 to take decision on the application of the petitioner, preferably within a period of six months from today.

4. If the petitioner is found entitled to rental compensation, the same be disbursed as determined, as expeditiously as possible. Petition accordingly is disposed of.

(P.R. BORA)
JUDGE

(SUNIL P. DESHMUKH)
JUDGE