

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.7241 OF 2018
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.1918 OF 2017**

The State of Maharashtra & ors ..APPICANTS

VERSUS

Ratan Anandrao Suradkar ..RESPONDENT

Mr A.R. Kale, A.G.P. for the applicants;
Mr M.R. Wagh, Advocate for the respondent

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE &
MANISH PITALE, JJ.**

DATE : 19th OCTOBER, 2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard learned A.G.P. appearing for the applicants.

2. By way of present applicant, the applicant State prays extension of time of six months. By order dated 30th January, 2018 petitioner i.e. State of Maharashtra was permitted to initiate inquiry against the respondent in accordance with law.

(2)

3. Learned Counsel appearing for the respondent opposed the application on the ground that clause (ii) of the order is not complied with. Then he submits that even initiation of inquiry took place after a month of the order passed by this Court.

4. If the respondent is having any grievance of non compliance of the order and more particularly non compliance of clause (ii) of the order, he is at liberty to avail remedies as available in law if so advised. There is some written submission of learned Counsel for the respondent that though initiation of inquiry itself was expected to be done at the earliest, the applicant took some considerable time and it is apprehension of the respondent that then there would be again delay in concluding the inquiry.

5. Considering this submission of learned Counsel for the respondent, we allow the

(3)

application partly though the applicant prays for extension of period of six months, we grant four months to the applicant from the date of the order passed by us today.

6. It was also submission of learned Counsel for the respondent that the respondent in the process of inquiry requested for certain documents but those documents are not provided to the respondent. If such request is made by the respondent, the authorities, needless to state, to consider the request on the merits of the application and pass appropriate orders.

7. With these directions, the application is disposed of.

(MANISH PITALE)
JUDGE

(PRASANNA B. VARALE)
JUDGE