

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 4522 OF 2016

Amol Manikrao Giram

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. Respondents

Shri Shailesh P. Brhame, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri S. B. Joshi, A.G.P. for the Respondent No. 1.

Shri S. M. Godsay, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
R. G. AVACHAT, JJ.**

DATE : 25TH OCTOBER, 2018.

FINAL ORDER :

. We have heard Mr. Brahme, the learned advocate for the petitioner. The learned counsel submits that, clause 2(2)(b) of the advertisement impugned is irrational, arbitrary and discriminatory. The earlier advertisement of the year 2015 did not prescribe such a qualification. The qualification prescribed is arbitrary.

2. Even examination is conducted and selection process is concluded.

3. Considering the aforesaid conspectus of the matter, the writ petition stands disposed of. No costs.

[R. G. AVACHAT, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb / Oct. 18