

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

1 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.7992 OF 2015
IN SAST/11696/2015 WITH CA/7993/2015 IN
SAST/11696/2015

PARASRAM GANPATI KOLHE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
PUNDLIK SAMBHA BHALERAO AND ANOHTER

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Ghatge Mahesh V.
Vibhute Sunil M. For R.no.1.

...

CORAM : P.R. BORA, J.
Dated: June 19, 2018

...

PER COURT :-

- 1) Respondent No.2 though is duly served, has not entered his appearance in the matter.
- 2) Delay of 199 days has occurred in filing the present second appeal by the appellants. The reasons are explained in paras 2 and 3 of the application.
- 3) Learned counsel for respondent though has opposed for condoning the delay, for the reasons stated in the application, which according to me are just and sufficient, the delay caused in filing the appeal is condoned. CA stands disposed of.

BDV

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

4) The appeal be registered in accordance with law. After registration of the appeal, issue notices to the respondents, returnable after six weeks. Learned counsel Shri Vibhute waives service for respondent No.1. The appellants to serve Respondent No.2.

(P.R. BORA, J.)

...