

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 92 OF 2018

MEGHA ATUL KHACHANE
VERSUS
ATUL GOVIND KHACHANE

...
Advocate for Applicant : Shri Patil Vinod Prakash

...
CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
Dated: November 30, 2018

...

PER COURT :-

1. Despite service of court notice, none has caused an appearance on behalf of the respondent / husband. Costs have been deposited on 30.11.2018.

2. I have heard the learned Advocate for the applicant / wife and the reasons cited in paragraph Nos.2 to 4 of the application. A 19 months old child is to be carried by her to Nasik for attending the Court proceedings at a distance of 250 kms. along with an adult member of the family, which requires two overnight journeys.

3. Reliance is placed upon the following judgments:-

(i) Vennangot Anuradha Samir Vs. Vennangot Mohandas Samir-
2016 (1) Bom.C.R.250,

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2 - MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 92 OF 2018

- (ii) Soma Choudhuri Vs. Gourab Choudhuri (2004) 13 SCC 462,
- (iii) Anjali Ashok Sadhwani Vs. Ashok Kishinchand Sadhwani,
AIR 2009 SC 1374
- (iv) Vaishali Shridhar Jagtap vs. Shridhar Vishwanath Jagtap -
2016 AIR (SC) 3584
- (v) Sayali Swapnil Kuber Vs. Swapnil Harischandra Kuber-
[2014(1) Mh.L.J. 584],
- (vi) Nilima Vs. Pavansingh - LEX (BOM)2011 9 193 and
- (vii) Shila Nitin Rajure Vs. Nitin Marotiappa Rajure -
MCA No.184 of 2017 (Aurangabad Bench), dated 9.1.2018.

4 Considering the above, this application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (B). HMP No.A-20/2018 shall stand transferred to the learned Civil Judge S.D. Jalgaon. The applicant shall appear before the said Court on 20.12.2018. Notice shall be issued to the respondent.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...