

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 3766 OF 2012
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4144 OF 2016**

Shaikh Hameed Shaikh Hyder and another .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri. A. S. Golegaonkar, Advocate for Petitioners.

Shri. V. S. Badakh, A.G.P. for Respondent/State.

Shri. V. S. Panpatte, Advocate for Respondent No.6.

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA AND
S. M. GAVHANE, JJ.**

DATED : 27th August, 2018

PER COURT:

. Mr. Golegaonkar, the learned counsel, on instructions, states that the Petitioner No. 1 is not interested in prosecuting the present writ petition as he is retired. Liberty may be given to the Petitioner No. 2 to independently challenge the order invalidating the caste claim.

2. In the light of above, as Petitioner No. 1 does not want to prosecute the present writ petition, the writ petition stands dismissed as withdrawn to the extent of Petitioner No. 1. Liberty to Petitioner No. 2 to assail the order as may be permissible in law. Writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

3. In view of disposal of writ petition, civil application also stands disposed of.

[S. M. GAVHANE, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

ass/wp 3766.12