

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AT AURANGABAD.**

Writ Petition No. 06592 of 2017

With

Civil Application No. 05925 of 2018

(In Writ Petition No. 06592 of 2017)

District : Jalgaon

1. Sau. Minakshi Umesh Joshi,
Age : 43 years,
Occupation : Business &
Agriculture,
R/o. 15, Jaynagar, Jalgaon.
2. Shri Vijaykumar Deepchand
Chordia (HUF),
Age : 58 years,
Occupation : Business &
Agriculture,
R/o. Mahavir Society,
Adarsh Nagar,
Jalgaon - 425 001.
3. Shri Saurabh Mahendra Kothari,
Age : 33 years,
Occupation : Business &
Agriculture,
R/o. "Ajay Smriti",
Suyog Colony,
Jalgaon - 425 001.
4. Shri Mahendra Ajayraj Kothari,
Age : 62 years,
Occupation : Business &
Agriculture,
R/o. "Ajay Smriti",
Suyog Colony,
Jalgaon - 425 001.

.. Petitioners /
Applicants.

All the petitioners through
their General Power of
Attorney Holder,
Umesh Ramvilas Joshi,
Age : 44 years,
Occupation : Business,
R/o. 15, Jainagar, Jalgaon.

versus

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through the Secretary,
Ministry of Urban Development,
Mantralaya, Mumbai - 32.
2. The Director of Town Planning
Department,
Pune.
3. The Deputy Director,
Town Planning,
Nashik Division,
Nashik.
4. Assistant Director,
Town Planning Department,
Jalgaon.
5. Municipal Corporation,
Jalgaon,
Through its Commissioner.
6. Assistant Director,
Town Planning,
Municipal Corporation,
Jalgaon.
7. The District Collector,
Jalgaon.

.. Respondents /
Non-applicants.

.....

*Mr. A.P. Bhandari, Advocate, for the
petitioners / applicants.*

*Mr. R.B. Bagul, Asst. Government Pleader, for
respondent nos.01, 02, 03, 04 and 07 /
non-applicant nos. 01, 02, 03, 04 and 07.*

*Ms. Kalyani Deshmukh, Advocate, holding for
Mr. P.R. Patil, Advocate, for respondent no.05 /
non-applicant no.05.*

.....

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE &
SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, JJ.**

DATE : 02ND MAY 2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard.

02. By way of civil application no. 05925 of 2018, the applicants - petitioners seek withdrawal of the writ petition. The grounds for seeking withdrawal of the petition are mentioned in paragraphs 03 and 04 of the application.

03. In view of the above referred facts, the civil application is allowed. Resultantly, the writ petition is permitted to be withdrawn. The civil application and the writ petition both are disposed of accordingly. Rule in the writ petition is discharged with no order as to costs.

**(Smt. Vibha Kankanwadi)
JUDGE**

**(Prasanna B. Varale)
JUDGE**

.....