

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7506 OF 2017
IN FAST/11272/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS.
VERSUS
CHANDARRAO BHIMRAO PATIL AND ORS.

WITH
CA/7508/2017 IN FAST/11275/2017
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS.
VERSUS
GANESH OMPRAKASH PATWARI AND ANR.

...
Mr. A.D. Namde, AGP for applicant - State
Mr. A.G. Vasmatkar, Advocate h/f Mr. V.G. Sakolkar, Advocate for
respondent in both CAs
...

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.
DATE : 01-03-2018

ORDER :

1. Heard learned Assistant Government Pleader for applicants and learned counsel for respondent in both applications.
2. Learned Assistant Government Pleader refers to contents of paragraphs no. 2, 3 and 4 of the applications and states that delay has been caused in the circumstances referred to in said paragraphs. He submits that delay has been caused in the official movement of the matter from one table to other and one department to another. Applicants have not gained any benefit from the delay caused.

3. Learned counsel for respondent purports to resist the applications, stating that no credibility be given to submissions and reasons in the application since the contentions of the applicants have not been supported by placing anything on record.

4. Although learned counsel for respondents submits so, he has not been able to challenge veracity of claims as would be appearing under paragraphs no. 2, 3 and 4 of the civil applications. In the circumstances, it would be expedient to grant the applications.

5. Civil applications are, accordingly, allowed in terms of prayer clause (B), respectively, and are disposed of.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE

arp/