

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 5319 OF 2018

BALASAHEB TUKARAM PALVE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
SOPAN YADAV PALVE AND ANOTHER

...
Advocate for Respondent 1 : Shri Shirsat S.R. h/f Shri Jadhavar S.S.
AGP for Respondent 2: Shri Yadav-Lonikar S.R.

...
CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
Dated: September 05, 2018

...
PER COURT :-

1. None appears for the petitioners. Learned Advocate for the respondents and learned AGP submit that even on the last occasion, none appeared for the petitioners.

2. There is a statutory remedy in filing a Second Revision under Section 257 of the Maharashtra Land Revenue Code (MLR Code) in view of the pronouncement of the Honourable Apex Court in the matter of Gurudassing Nawoosing Panjwani Vs. State of Maharashtra [2015 (6) Mh.L.J. 915].

3. This Court has not granted any interim relief to the petitioners. Notice was issued on 13.6.2018 and a further order was passed on 13.7.2018 as the petitioners did not supply copies for issuance of notices.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2 - WRIT PETITION NO. 5319 OF 2018

4. In view of the judicial pronouncement in Gurudassing (supra), this petition is disposed off. The petitioners would be at liberty to approach the revisional authority under Section 256 of the MLR Code. If such a revision is filed, on/or before 6.10.2018, the time spent by the petitioners in this Court from 5.4.2018 till the passing of this order, would be a good ground for seeking condonation of delay.

Ajay
Kishanrao
Losarwar

Digitally
signed by Ajay
Kishanrao
Losarwar
Date:
2018.09.06
10:10:57
+0530

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...