

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

914 WRIT PETITION NO. 3583 OF 2007

* The Public Information Officer
 and Assistant Director of Education,
 Office of the Deputy Director of
 Education, Aurangbad. ...Petitioner

VERSUS

1. Sow Gouri Sudhir Daokhare
 R/o C/o Pratim Mohanrao Nirale
 "Utkarsha" Gajanan Colony
 Sahayognagar, Beed
 District Beed.
2. The State Information Commission
 Through its Secretary, Aurangabad. ...Respondents

.....
Mr.A.S. Bayas, Advocate for Petitioner.
Mr.M.C. Syed, Advocate for Respondent No.1.
.....

**CORAM : T.V. NALAWADE &
 SUNIL K. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATED : 23rd MARCH, 2018.

ORAL JUDGMENT:-

1. In the petition, the Information Officer of the office of Director of Education has challenged the order of Information Officer and also the State Information Commissioner by which penalty of Rs.250/- per day for causing delay in supplying information is imposed. Both the sides are heard. The

(2)

submissions made by the learned counsel for original complainant shows that, he wants only to receive the information and has no grievance against the petitioner. In view of the nature of allegations and the nature of information sought this Court hold that the order of penalty needs to be set aside. The Writ Petition is allowed. The order of penalty is set aside. Rule made absolute in those terms.

(SUNIL K. KOTWAL)
JUDGE

(T.V. NALAWADE)
JUDGE