

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

920 WRIT PETITION NO. 3878 OF 2018

NARAYAN PANDIT GIRI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Prakashsing B. Patil
AGP for Respondents: Mr. S.S. Dande

WITH

934 WRIT PETITION NO. 3908 OF 2018

906 WRIT PETITION NO. 3172 OF 2018

WITH WP/3173/2018 WITH WP/3174/2018 WITH WP/3175/2018 WITH
WP/3176/2018 WITH WP/3177/2018 WITH WP/3178/2018 WITH
WP/3179/2018 WITH WP/3180/2018 WITH WP/3181/2018 WITH
WP/3182/2018 WITH WP/3183/2018 WITH WP/3184/2018 WITH
WP/3185/2018 WITH WP/3186/2018 WITH WP/3187/2018 WITH
WP/3188/2018 WITH WP/3189/2018 WITH WP/3190/2018 WITH
WP/3191/2018 WITH WP/3192/2018 WITH WP/3193/2018 WITH
WP/3194/2018 WITH WP/3195/2018 WITH WP/3196/2018 WITH
WP/3197/2018 WITH WP/3198/2018 WITH WP/3199/2018 WITH
WP/3200/2018 WITH WP/3201/2018 WITH WP/3202/2018 WITH
WP/3203/2018 WITH WP/3204/2018 WITH WP/3205/2018

**CORAM : R.M. BORDE &
K.K. SONAWANE, JJ.**

DATE : 18th April, 2018.

PER COURT:-

The claim of the petitioners for employment as against reservation for Project Affected Persons (PAP) category has been turned down on the ground that one person in the family has already received the benefit. The petitioners contend that several such ineligible candidates have been appointed by the University and benefit has been extended to them.

The petitioners cannot claim parity in the matter of appointment on the basis of illegality committed by the respondents. It would be open for the petitioners to bring to the notice of this court, an

illegality in respect of appointment of any ineligible candidate and if such challenge is raised, it would be appropriately dealt with. No interference is warranted in exercise of jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Writ petitions stand dismissed summarily. No costs.

[K.K.SONAWANE, J]

[R.M. BORDE, J]

grt/-