

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

906 WRIT PETITION NO. 3518 OF 2018

Kinetic Engineer Ltd.Nagar Daimd Road,
Ahmednagar

... Petitioner.

Versus

Chandrakant Khanderao Satpute

... Respondent.

....

Mr. Vinayak S. Bedre, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Parag V. Barde, Advocate for Respondent/Sole.

....

CORAM : V.L. ACHLIYA, J.

DATED : 6th APRIL, 2018

PER COURT:-

1. Learned counsel representing the parties submit that the petitioner and the respondent have decided to settle the dispute amicably. The consent terms filed taken on record and marked as 'X' for identification.

2. The petitioner represented through its Assistant General Manager and respondent are present. With their respective advocates they admit their respective signatures on the consent terms and further admit the contents of the consent terms recorded truly and correctly as per the settlement arrived. The advocate appearing for petitioner and respondent identify their respective parties. The consent terms are also signed by the advocates representing both the sides.

3 In view of the settlement arrived between the parties, the writ petition is allowed and disposed of in terms of consent terms filed.

**(V.L. ACHLIYA)
JUDGE**

SPR