

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

930 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5828 OF 2012  
IN FAST/9660/2012

ASHOK AMBADAS MAHALE  
VERSUS  
THE NEW INDIA ASSURANCE COMPANY LTD. AND ANR

...  
Advocate for Applicant : Shri Madhav M. Bhokarikar  
Advocate for Respondent No.1 : Shri Patil h/f. Shri A.G.Kanade

...  
CORAM : P.R. BORA, J.  
Date: November 01, 2018

...  
PER COURT :-

1 Heard Shri M.M.Bhokarikar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri Patil, learned Counsel holding for Shri A.G.Kanade, learned Counsel for respondent No.1.

2 Respondent No.2, though served, has not caused appearance in the matter.

3 Though, respondent No.1 has opposed for condoning the delay, for the reasons stated in the application, which according to me are just and sufficient, delay of 330 days is condoned.

4 Appeal be registered in accordance with law.

( P.R. BORA, J. )

...