

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6364 OF 2017
IN
FIRST APPEAL [STAMP] NO. 8491 of 2017

Executive Engineer, Sina Kolegaon
Project Division, Paranda (Under
Maharashtra Krishna Valley
Development Corporation Ltd.,Pune) .. Applicant

versus

Vijaykumar Narayan Patil and others .. Respondents

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6360 OF 2017
IN
FIRST APPEAL [STAMP] NO. 8496 OF 2017

Executive Engineer, Sina Kolegaon
Project Division, Paranda (Under
Maharashtra Krishna Valley
Development Corporation Ltd.,Pune) .. Applicant

versus

Vasudeo Laximan and others .. Respondents

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6362 OF 2017
IN
FIRST APPEAL [STAMP] NO. 8485 OF 2017

Executive Engineer, Sina Kolegaon
Project Division, Paranda (Under
Maharashtra Krishna Valley
Development Corporation Ltd.,Pune) .. Applicant

versus

Vitthal Vinayak Patil and others .. Respondents

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6358 OF 2017
IN
FIRST APPEAL [STAMP] NO. 8289 OF 2017

Executive Engineer, Sina Kolegaon
Project Division, Paranda (Under
Maharashtra Krishna Valley
Development Corporation Ltd.,Pune) .. Applicant

versus

Vijaykumar Narayan Patil and others .. Respondents

Mr. S. G. Sangle, Advocate for applicants
Mr Babasaheb A. Dhengle, Advocate for respondents-claimants
Mr. A. M. Phule, Asstt.Govt.Pleader for respondent 2

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J
DATE : 13th April, 2018

ORDER :

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. Learned counsel for appellant – acquiring body refers to contents of civil applications and submits that the delay has occurred for the reasons contained in the same and under the circumstances, seeks condonation of delay in filing first appeals. He further submits that delay is neither deliberate nor intentional nor any particular benefit is derived by acquiring body from the same.
3. He refers to a few of the orders passed by this court in similar circumstances and further submits that delay therein had been far more than as involved in present applications. He further for justifying

delay condonation refers to decisions of the supreme court in the cases of *Collector, Land Acquisition, Anantnag vs. Mst. Katiji*, reported in 1987 SC 1353, and *Dhiraj Singh (dead) through legal representatives and others vs. State of Haryana and others*, reported in (2014) 14 SCC 127.

4. Learned counsel Mr. Dhengle for respondents – claimants although purports to strongly oppose, has not been in a position to question veracity of the contents of the applications for condonation of delay nor is in a position to disprove the same by producing any tangible material before this court.

5. In the circumstances, having regard to the contents and reasons given in the applications, it would be expedient to allow applications.

6. Civil applications are accordingly allowed in terms of prayer clause (B). Delay is condoned.

7. Applications are disposed of.

SUNIL P. DESHMUKH,
JUDGE

pnd