

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 3130 OF 2014

Satish s/o Ramkrushna Ghogre and others .. Petitioners

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Mr Santosh S. Jadhavar, Advocate for petitioners

Mr S. N. Kendre, Asstt.Govt.Pleader for respondents no.1 and 2

Mr R. J. Godbole, Advocate for respondent no. 4

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH AND
S. M. GAVHANE, JJ

DATE : 5th December, 2018

ORDER :

1. Learned counsel for petitioners, on instructions, states that by subsequent government resolution issued during pendency of writ petition, fresh decision has been taken by the education officer granting approval to appointments of petitioners no. 1 to 4 and rejecting that of petitioner no. 5.
2. Having regard to the statement made, petition appears to have been rendered inefficacious with regard to reliefs claimed in writ petition.

3. Writ petition, therefore, is disposed of as ineffectual.

4. Learned counsel for petitioners seeks liberty for respondent no. 5 to challenge decision of rejection of approval to his case.

5. Needless to refer to that this order would not undermine any recourse that would be available in accordance with law for respondent no. 5.

S. M. GAVHANE
JUDGE

SUNIL P. DESHMUKH
JUDGE

pnd/-