

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 3104 OF 2017

Anilkumar Gangdharrao Bhojraj (Patil) .. **Petitioner**

Versus

The Union of India and others .. **Respondents**

Shri Balbhim R. Kedar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri Manish N. Navandar, Advocate for Respondent No. 1.

Shri P. S. Patil, Addl.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
A. M. DHAVALA, JJ.**

DATE : 21st February, 2018

PER COURT :

1. Mr. Kedar, learned advocate submits that the petitioner has submitted proposal for One Time Settlement.

2. The proposal for One Time Settlement is to be considered on the touch stone of various aspects as laid down under the policy. It is for the creditor to consider the One Time Settlement proposal, considering the policy.

3. In case the petitioner has submitted the proposal, the respondent – Bank may take decision upon it on its own merits, in accordance with policy, expeditiously.

4. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

[A. M. DHAVAL, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

marathe