

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 2268 OF 2014

RAMDAS BHIMRAO CHANDOL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2271 OF 2014

GAJANAN KASHINATH KOLI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2272 OF 2014

SANJAY PRALHAD SONWANE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 2284 OF 2014

SANTOSH TUKARAM BHOLANE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mr. A.S. Bayas, Advocate for petitioners in all writ petitions
Mr. Y.G. Gujrathi, AGP for respondent-State
Mr. P.S. Patil, Advocate for respondent no. 2 (WP/2284/2014)
Mr. K.D. Bade Patil, Advocate for respondent no. 2 in
WP/2268/2014, WP/2271/2014, WP/2272/2014
Mr. V.C. Patil, Advocate h/f. Mr. U.B. Bondar, Advocate for
respondents no. 3 to 7 in WP/2271/2014, WP/2272/2014,
WP/2284/2014

...

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH &
S.M. GAVHANE, JJ.
DATE : 11-12-2018

ORDER :

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that their tribe claims are pending with respondent no.2 – the scheduled tribe caste certificate scrutiny committee and the same are not decided yet.
3. In the circumstances, it would be expedient that respondent no.2 decides on the tribe claims of petitioners, if not already decided, as early as possible, preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt of writ of this court.
4. Petitioners to remain present before respondent no. 2 - the scheduled tribe caste certificate scrutiny committee on 27-12-2018 and shall abide by schedule of the committee and shall co-operate with it.
5. Till disposal of tribe claims of petitioners by respondent no.2, no coercive action based on impugned communications dated 18/24-02-2014 be taken.
6. Writ petitions are disposed of accordingly.

[S.M. GAVHANE]
JUDGE

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE

arp/