

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1347 OF 2014
IN FAST/25355/2013

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER URDHA PRAVARA DHARAN VIBHAG
SANGAMNER AND ANR.

VERSUS

GANGADHAR VITHOBA BORADE AND ANR.

WITH

CA/1101/2015 IN FAST/6036/2014 ; CA/1335/2014 IN FAST/25367/2013
CA/1319/2014 IN FAST/26808/2013;
CA/1323/2014 IN FAST/25924/2013 ; CA/1288/2014 IN FAST/26785/2013
CA/1296/2014 IN FAST/25364/2013 ; CA/1085/2015 IN FAST/6039/2014
CA/1351/2014 IN FAST/26790/2013 ; CA/1089/2015 IN FAST/5992/2014
CA/1095/2015 IN FAST/6014/2014 ; CA/1091/2015 IN FAST/5999/2014
CA/1097/2015 IN FAST/6025/2014 ; CA/1093/2015 IN FAST/6008/2014
CA/1087/2015 IN FAST/6029/2014 ; CA/1099/2015 IN FAST/6033/2014

...

Mr. P.S. Patil, Advocate for applicants in all CAs
Mr. R.L. Kute, Advocate respondent no.1 for in all CAs
Mr. S.P. Deshmukh, AGP for respondent no. 2 - State

...

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.
DATE : 14-03-2018

ORDER :

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. For the reasons contained in the applications, which although are purportedly resisted, however veracity of the contents in the applications could not be countered on behalf of the claimants, the civil applications could be allowed.

3. In the circumstances, civil applications are allowed in terms of prayer clause (A) and are disposed of.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE

arp/