

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
WRIT PETITION NO.2336 OF 2018

Rajendra s/o Baburao Sutar

Petitioner

Versus

The Union of India & others

Respondents

Mr.V.V.Ingale, advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.S.B.Deshpande, Assistant Solicitor General for Respondent No.1.

Mr.C.S.Kulkarni, advocate for Respondents No.2 & 3.

CORAM : R.M.BORDE AND

K.K.SONAWANE, JJ.

DATE : 28th February, 2018.

P.C. :

1 The petitioner is claiming entitlement in respect of the land which has been declared surplus under the provisions of the Maharashtra Agricultural Lands (Celing on Holdings) Act, 1961.

2 After the declaration of the land to be surplus and the land vests in the State Government, the land has admittedly been allotted to Respondents No.4, 5, 6 and 7, in observance of the procedure prescribed under the Act. The petitioner is, thus, not entitled to claim compensation in respect of the land which has been declared as surplus and which has also been allotted in favour of the allottees.

3 The petitioner has also raised a grievance in respect of entitlement of respondents to receive compensation and it is contended that the amount shall not be disbursed to respondents

and it shall be presumed that the land belongs to the State.

4 It would be for the State to Government to consider this aspect. Since the petitioner has no entitlement in respect of the land, no interference is called for. Petition is devoid of substance.

5 Writ Petition, therefore, stands dismissed.

K.K.SONAWANE
JUDGE

R.M.BORDE
JUDGE

adb/