

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

74 WRIT PETITION NO. 2039 OF 2015

SHARDA DNYANDEO MUSLE
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Kakade Amol N .
AGP for Respondents 1 and 2 : Mr. S.B.Pulkundwar
Advocate for Respondents 3 and 4 : Mr. Patil Vikramsinh P.

CORAM : S.V.GANGAPURWALA
AND
SUNIL K.KOTWAL, JJ.

DATE : JULY 23, 2018

O R D E R :

Mr. Patil, learned counsel for respondent nos. 3 and 4 submits that the petitioner's services are terminated with effect from 15.12.2014 and said fact is communicated to the petitioner and also to the Education Officer.

2. In view of that, the petitioner would have remedy before the School Tribunal. In an appeal before the School Tribunal, even consequential order of refusal of approval can also be assailed. For the

period 16.6.2014 to 14.12.2014 respondent nos. 3 and 4 shall pay salary as admissible to the petitioner within a period of three months.

3. Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

[SUNIL K.KOTWAL, J.] [S.V.GANGAPURWALA, J.]

dbm