

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

WRIT PETITION NO. 3219 OF 2018

Baidunisa Begum Shaikh Allauddin. Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others. Respondents.

Mr. Vishnu Dhoble, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, A.G.P. for the State.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 3220 OF 2018

Sunandabai Tukaram Shete. Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others. Respondents.

Mr. Vishnu Dhoble, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.G. Karlekar, A.G.P. for the State.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 3221 OF 2018

Kiransing Kishansing Thakur. Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others. Respondents.

Mr. Vishnu Dhoble, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P. Basarkar, A.G.P. for the State.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 3222 OF 2018

Chandrakala Bapurao Dahale.

Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others.

Respondents.

Mr. Vishnu Dhoble, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.N. Lokhande, A.G.P. for the State.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 3223 OF 2018

Sheela Rambhau Bujwane.

Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others.

Respondents.

Mr. Vishnu Dhoble, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. N.T. Bhagat, A.G.P. for the State.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 3224 OF 2018

Shobha Manikrao Jadhav.

Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others.

Respondents.

Mr. Vishnu Dhoble, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mrs. P.V. Diggikar, A.G.P. for the State.

CORAM : **S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
SUNIL K. KOTWAL, JJ.**

Dated : **14th June, 2018.**

ORDER :-

. Issue notice to respondents. The learned Assistant Government Pleader accepts notice for respondents/State.

2. Mr. Dhoble, the learned advocate for the petitioners submits that time and again the proposals are submitted by the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Parbhani for regularizing the services of the employees appointed on daily wages. The names of the petitioners find place in the list forwarded by the Commissioner to the State. However, no decision has been taken on it as yet.

3. It appears that the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Parbhani has forwarded the proposals for regularization to respondent No. 3. The proposals will have to be decided by respondent No. 1.

4. In case the proposals are not forwarded to respondent No. 1 by respondent No. 3, then respondent No. 3 shall forward said proposals through proper channel to respondent No.1 within a period of four (04) months from today. On receipt of the proposals, respondent No. 1 shall take decision on the proposals of the Municipal Corporation seeking regularization of the services of the persons appointed on daily wages (Exhibit-A), dated 20.05.2011 on its own merits and in accordance with law, expeditiously and preferably within a period of nine (09) months from the date of receipt of proposals. The writ petitions accordingly are disposed of. No costs.

(SUNIL K. KOTWAL)
JUDGE

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE

vdd/