

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10024 OF 2015
IN
FIRST APPEAL [STAMP] NO. 4694 OF 2015

The Executive Engineer,
L.M.I, Division

.. Applicant

versus

Manorama Shankarrao Sawant
and others

.. Respondents

Mr. S. A. Deshpande, Advocate h/f Mr. Ruturaj C. Patil, Advocate
for applicant

Mr. D. M. Kakade, Advocate for respondent no.1

Mr. A. D. Namde, Asstt. Govt. Pleader for respondents no.2 & 3

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.
DATE : 27th February, 2018

ORDER :

1. Heard learned Advocate for applicants and learned
counsel for respondent no. 1.

2. Learned advocate Mr. Deshpande refers to contents of
paragraph no. 2 of application and states that delay has been
caused in the circumstances referred to in the application. He
submits that delay has been caused in the official movement
of the matter from one table to other and one department to

another. Applicants have not gained any benefit from the delay caused.

3. Learned counsel for respondent purport to resist the application, stating that no credibility be given to submissions and reasons in the application since the contentions of the applicant have not been supported by placing anything on record.

4. Although learned counsel for respondents submit so, they have not been able to challenge veracity of claims as would be appearing in civil application. In the circumstances, it would be expedient to grant the application.

5. Civil application is, accordingly, allowed in terms of prayer clause (a) and is disposed of.

SUNIL P. DESHMUKH,
JUDGE

pnd