

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.119 OF 2018
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.9637 OF 2017

Gulam Alamgir Gulam Mohammad .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and ors. .. Respondents

Mr B.L. Sagar-Killarikar, Advocate for petitioner
Mr S.V. Daund, Advocate h/f Mr G.K. Thigle Naik, Advocate for
respondent no.4

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
A.M. DHAVALA, JJ**

DATE : 2nd May 2018

PER COURT

1. We have heard Mr Sagar-Killarikar, learned Counsel for the petitioner.
2. The respondent no.4 has filed affidavit saying that the order has been complied with. According to Mr Sagar, much delay has been caused by respondent no.4 in complying the order of the Court.
3. The Chief Executive Officer of the Municipal Council has tendered unconditional apology, so also has referred the matter being placed before the General Body. The General Body resolution being passed and thereafter processing the application of the petitioner.
4. We accept the explanation and the unconditional apology tendered by respondent no.4.

5. In view of that, though belatedly, the contempt stands purged.
Contempt Petition disposed of. No costs.

(A.M. DHAVAL, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)

vvr