

drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4743 OF 2018
IN
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3776 OF 2009
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.2793 OF 1990

Chandrabhan Namdeo Kadam

APPLICANT

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and Others

RESPONDENTS

.....

Mr. B. N. Patil, Advocate for the applicant

Mr. S.S.Shinde h/f Mr. V. V. Bhavthankar, for respondent No.5

.....

[CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]**DATE : 15th JUNE, 2018****ORDER :**

1. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that the address of respondent No. 9D, appearing in the title clause of the civil application is his only known address. He submits that all the legal heirs of deceased respondent No.9, except respondent No.9D have already been served. He further states that rest of the legal representatives of deceased respondents No. 9 have not been supplying information about whereabouts of respondent No. 9D.

2. The application is not being opposed, as in spite of being

served, respondents No.9A to 9C and 9E to 9H have not put in appearance.

3. In the circumstances, it would be expedient to allow the civil application. As such, civil application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause "B" and is disposed of.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]