

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

925 WRIT PETITION NO. 853 OF 2018

EKNATH MAHIPATI GAIKWAD
VERSUS
THE ADDITIONAL COMMISSIONER AURANGABAD AND
OTHERS

.....

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Usmanpurkar Aniruddha S.
AGP for Respondent-State : Mrs. Vaishali N. Jadhav Patil

.....

CORAM : V. K. JADHAV, J.
DATED : 26th JUNE, 2018

PER COURT:-

The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks two week's time to file on record certain documents. Learned AGP has raised a preliminary objection that in view of the Apex Court judgment in the case of *Gurudassing Nawoosing Panjwani vs State of Maharashtra and ors.*, reported in *2015 (6) Mh.L.J. 915*, the petitioner has an alternate efficacious remedy of preferring second revision before the State Government. In view of this, the writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to prefer revision before the State Government, if so desired. If such revision is preferred within four weeks from today, then, the

revisional court shall consider the time spent in pursuing the present writ petition for deciding the question of condonationj of delay, if so arises.

(V. K. JADHAV, J.)

vre/