

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

901 WRIT PETITION NO. 1385 OF 2017

PRAVIN PANDURANG PATIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Venjane Tukaram M.
AGP for Respondents/State : Mr. K.B. Jadhavar
Advocate for Respondents : Mr. U.B. Bondar for R/2 & 3.

...

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 24.11.2018

PC. :-

The learned counsel on instructions pray for withdrawal of the petition. At the request of the counsel petition is allowed to be withdrawn and is disposed of.

2. The learned counsel on instructions pray for liberty to file a fresh petition. At the request of the counsel liberty is granted with a condition that if a fresh petition is filed in this Court for a similar relief and for the similar cause the petitioner to mention in the petition at the threshold that when the earlier petition was filed a reply was filed on behalf of the respondent-authority submitting in the affidavit in reply that though the petitioner submitted his claim in the petition on the ground that he was duly appointed and an approval was granted to the petitioner. In the reply filed by the

respondent-Zilla Parishad, it was specifically stated that there is no such record available in the office of the Education Officer that an approval is granted to the petitioner and it was the specific stand of the respondent-Zilla Parishad that reliance is placed on a document which is not a true or genuine document. With this statement, if the petition is filed then and then only the office to accept such a petition being filed subsequently by the petitioner.

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

[PRASANNA B. VARALE, J.]