

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

**24 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1758 OF 2018
IN SAST/1932/2018 WITH CA/1759/2018 IN
SAST/1932/2018**

**SHIVAJI NAGORAO KALYANKAR
VERSUS
SOW REKHA SHIVAJI KALYANKAR AND ORS**

...

Shri A.S. Barlota, Advocate, h/f. Shri Pavan P Uttarwar,
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri M.M. Parghane, Advocate for Respondents
No.1 to 3

...

CORAM : P.R. BORA, J.
Dated: July 09, 2018

PER COURT :

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the applicant and the learned Counsel for the respondents. Though the learned Counsel for the respondents has opposed for condoning the delay stating that the reasons are insufficient, after having gone through the contents of the application, it appears to me that, sufficient reasons are assigned for condoning the delay. Hence, the following order.

ORDER

(i) The delay of 64 days caused in filing Second Appeal is condoned. Second Appeal be registered in accordance with law. Civil Application (No.1758 of 2018) for condonation of delay stands disposed of.

(ii) On registration of the Appeal, issue notice to the

respondents. Learned Counsel Shri Parghane waives service of notice for all the respondents. Service complete.

(iii) List the matter for further consideration after two weeks.

(PR. BORA, J.)

ggp