

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1183 OF 2018
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.11202 OF 2017

Surekha d/o Jalbaji Bhorge .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and ors. .. Respondents

Mr V.D. Patnoorkar, Advocate for applicant
Mr S.G. Karlekar, A.G.P. for respondent no.1
Mr S.B. Pulkundwar, Advocate for respondent no.2
Mr N.P. Bangar, Advocate for respondent no.3
Mr A.H. Panchal, Advocate for respondent no.4

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
A.M. DHAVALA, JJ**

DATE : 2nd February 2018

PER COURT

1. The learned Counsel for the applicant states that subsequent orders passed during the pendency of writ petition are prejudicial to the petitioner. As such, sought leave to amend.
2. Heard the learned Counsel for the non-applicant.
3. For the reasons stated in the application, application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (B). Civil Application disposed of.

(A.M. DHAVALA, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)