

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1063 of 2004

1. The Secretary,
Vidyavardhini Sabha, Sakri road, Dhule
 2. The Principal,
Vidyavardhini Sabha's College of Arts, Science and
Commerce, Sakri road, Dhule, District Dhule. .
- ..Petitioners**

VERSUS

1. Arun S/o Shantaram Pawar,
age 30 years occupation service
R/o 20/B, GTP Colony, Deopur, Dhule District Dhule
2. The North Maharashtra University,
Jalgaon through its Registrar
3. The Joint Director of Higher Education (Grants),
Jalgaon District Jalgaon.

...Respondents

Mr Ajay G. Talhar, Advocate for petitioners
Mr Pravin Patil, Advocate for respondent No.1
Mr Vivek Dhage, Advocate for respondent No.2

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.

DATE : 14th June, 2018

ORDER :

Perusal of the judgment shows that Grievance Committee had noted that procedure and provisions of Statute 415(1) of the Statutes of North Maharashtra University ought to have been followed, which did not appear to have been followed by

the petitioners. Learned Counsel for petitioners is not in a position to show that the provision as required has been followed by producing any material.

2. Learned Counsel for petitioners refers to that in all the writ petitions, the posts have been permanently filled in and further purports to advert to that respondent No.1/employee had been working as temporary employee with petitioners and is stated to be no longer working with petitioners.

3. It does not appear that the writ petition deserves any further consideration. The writ petition accordingly is not entertained and is disposed of as ineffectual.

4. Rule discharged.

(SUNIL P. DESHMUKH)
JUDGE.

Madkar