

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 2650 OF 2018

SHAIKH LUKMAN SHAIKH ISMAIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2653 OF 2018

SHAIKH SULTAN SHAIKH ISMAIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2655 OF 2018

SANJAY VASANTRAO JOSHI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2661 OF 2018

SHIVLAL PITAMBAR PATIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2662 OF 2018

ASHA CHINILA UPADHYEY
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2663 OF 2018

SULOCHANA GANESH SONAWANE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2664 OF 2018

SHAIKH KARIM SHAIKH GULAB
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2665 OF 2018

AADHAR GOMTU WANI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Girish Nagori
AGP for Respondents: Mr. S.S. Dande

**CORAM : R.M. BORDE &
K.K. SONAWANE, JJ.**

DATE : 26th April, 2018

PER COURT:-

1] Facts giving rise to the instant group of petitions are identical in all respects with the facts giving rise to a group of petitions, bearing W.P. No. 14052 of 2017 decided by the Division Bench of this Court on 14th February, 2018. As such, the instant petitions can also be disposed of with the identical directions as have been issued in the decided group of petitions. It is not a matter in dispute that the proposal by the Municipal Council for relaxation of age limit in respect of the petitioners/employees has been turned down by the State by virtue of the order dated 26.10.2017. The Division Bench while dismissing the group of petitions has referred to above and directed quashment of the letter dated 15.9.2017, and directed the State to re-consider the proposal on the relaxation of upper age limit afresh. Consequential letter dated 26.10.1997 has also been quashed by the

High Court and the communication dated 15.9.2017 passed by the State is quashed and set aside. The State is directed to re-consider the proposal about relaxation of upper age limit afresh.

2] The State Government shall take decision afresh on the proposal tendered by the Municipal Corporation regarding relaxation of upper age limit after considering all relevant aspects as observed in the judgment delivered in the decided matters , as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of 3 months from today. The consequential letter dated 26.10.2017 also stands quashed and set aside. The petitioners are entitled to represent themselves before the authorities.

3] With these observations and directions, writ petitions are stand disposed of. In the circumstances, there shall no orders as to costs.

[K.K.SONAWANE, J]

[R.M. BORDE, J]

grt/-