

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

WRIT PETITION NO. 9023 OF 2013

Dnyaneshwar Ramchandra Patil.

Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others.

Respondents.

Mr. P.L. Shahane, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. M.M. Nerlikar, A.G.P. for the State.

CORAM : **T.V. NALAWADE &
SUNIL K. KOTWAL, JJ.**

Dated : 26th April, 2018.

ORDER :-

. Seen the order made by this Court on 12.04.2018. On that day when it was brought to the notice of learned Counsel for the petitioner that the representation of the petitioner has already decided by an order dated 07.03.2014, he had submitted that he wanted to take instructions from his client as to whether his client wants to do anymore in the present proceeding.

2. In view of the relief claimed in the present petition and the aforesaid development, nothing survives in the present proceeding. So, the petition is disposed of.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner appeared later on.

(SUNIL K. KOTWAL)
JUDGE

(T.V. NALAWADE)
JUDGE

vdd/