

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

REVIEW APPLICATION ST. NO. 1270 OF 2017 IN
FIRST APPEAL NO. 2539 OF 2015

Maruti Manik Jadhav
& others

Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& another

Respondents

Mr. M.G. Deokate, advocate for applicants.
Mr. A.M. Phule, AGP for respondent no. 1.
Mr. S.S. Dande, advocate for respondent no. 2.

WITH
REVIEW APPLICATION ST. NO. 1272 OF 2017 IN
FIRST APPEAL NO. 2538 OF 2015

Ashraji Santaram Jadhav
(Deceased through LRs)
& others

Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& another

Respondents

Mr. M.G. Deokate, advocate for applicants.
Mr. A.M. Phule, AGP for respondent no. 1.
Mr. S.S. Dande, advocate for respondent no. 2.

WITH
REVIEW APPLICATION ST. NO. 1274 OF 2017 IN
FIRST APPEAL NO. 2537 OF 2015

Balbhim Namdeo Jadhav
& another

Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& another

Respondents

Mr. M.G. Deokate, advocate for applicants.
Mr. A.M. Phule, AGP for respondent no. 1.
Mr. S.S. Dande, advocate for respondent no. 2.

CORAM : M.S. SONAK, J.

DATE : 16th JANUARY, 2018

PER COURT:

1. Mr. Deokate, learned counsel for review applicants submits that in this case, the original consent award on the basis of which, the applicants were not suited by the reference Court was never produced on record. He submits that applicants were made to sign on blank papers and they accepted the compensation under protest.

2. In this case, this Court, upon considering and appreciating the evidence, has, dismissed the first appeal by judgment and order dated 19.09.2016. The grounds raised by learned counsel Mr. Deokate do not qualify as grounds contemplated by section 114 r/w Order 47 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

3. Taking into consideration the restrictive parameters of review jurisdiction, it is not possible to entertain these review applications. Accordingly, review applications are dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S. SONAK, J.)