

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 85 OF 2018
IN WP/3295/2014

MADHAV SAKHARAM SHINDE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Shri Jadhavar S.G.
AGP for Respondents 1 to 3 : Shri Badakh V.S.

...
CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
Dated: February 07, 2018

...
PER COURT :-

1. By the directions in paragraph No.7 in order dated 23.6.2017, the petitioners were directed to appear before Tahsildar, Sengaoan 28.6.2017 at 3.00 pm and submit written notes of submissions. Contention is that the Tahsildar did not commence the hearing in the matter. When called upon to show the copy of the written notes of arguments dated 28.6.2017, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he does not have a copy. When called upon to state whether he tendered an application along with the written notes to the inward section, he submits that the petitioners have not so done.

2. Learned AGP submits that before this Court passed the order on 23.6.2017, the Tahsildar has already decided the proceedings by order dated 22.5.2017.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2 - CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 85 OF 2018

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he would challenge the said order of the Tahsildar by taking recourse to a remedy as is permissible in law.

4. Considering the above, this Contempt Petition is disposed off.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...