

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.437 OF 2018

DUDHATE MANDAP DECORATION, PARBHANI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Mr.G.R.Syed, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.S.S.Dande, AGP for the respondent/State
Mr.S.V.Dixit, Advocate for respondent no.2.

...

**CORAM: S.S. SHINDE AND
S.M.GAVHANE, JJ.**

DATE : 24.01.2018

ORDER:

1] Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that respondents have decided to call separate tenders under different heads like erection of the temporary stalls, supply of electricity, collecting of rent, etc. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has tendered across the Bar *withdrawal pursis*, and the same is taken on record.

2] In view of *withdrawal pursis*, the Petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

3] We make it clear that we have not entered upon the contentions raised on merits either by the petitioner or by the respondents.

4] Needless to observe that the learned counsel appearing for the respective parties will be at liberty to communicate this order to their respective clients.

[S.M.GAVHANE]
JUDGE

[S.S.SHINDE]
JUDGE

DDC