

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
1080 WRIT PETITION NO. 8422 OF 2016
WITH CA/446/2018 IN WP/8422/2016**

GANPATRAO EKNATHRAO NARWAD

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS ..RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. Ramesh V. Naiknavare, Advocate for the
Petitioner.

Mr. N. T. Bhatat, AGP for Respondents-State.

Mr. Krishna K. Kulkarni, Advocate for Respondent
No.4.

...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
SUNIL K. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATED : 26th JULY, 2018.

PER COURT:-

1. Mr. Naiknavare, learned counsel for the
petitioner submits that after filing of the present
petition, the respondents have sanctioned the
proposal of the petitioner for construction.

2. In view of that, the grievance of the
petitioner does not survive. Writ Petition as such
is disposed of. No costs.

3. In view of disposal of writ petition,
civil application also stands disposed of.

**(SUNIL K. KOTWAL)
JUDGE**

**(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE**