

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No 8313 of 2018**

Tribhuvan Verma S/o Palan Ram Verma Aged About 38 Years Assistant Teacher, Govt. Higher Secondary School, Tappa, Block Dongargaon, District- Rajnandaon, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Social Welfare, Mahanadi Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Post Office And Police Station Atal Nagar, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Secretary Department Of School Education, Govt. Of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Post Office And Police Station Atal Nagar, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Commissioner-Cum-Director Directorate Of Panchayat, Chhattisgarh 36 C III, Block II, Second Floor, Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Post Office And Police Station Atal Nagar, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Collector Rajnandgaon, District- Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.
5. Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat, Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh
6. Deputy Director (Local Fund Audit) Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.
7. District Education Officer Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.
8. Block Education Officer Dongargaon, District- Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.
9. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Dongargaon, District- Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.

----Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Vivek Kumar Shrivastava, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Syed Majid Ali, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****13/12/2018**

1. The Petitioner is aggrieved by the action of the Respondents, whereby the increment granted to the Petitioner is being withdrawn on the ground **that he has not** passed B.Ed/D. Ed examination.
2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the issue with regard to withdrawal/non-grant of increment on the ground of having not passed B.Ed/D.Ed examination was examined by this Court in the case of

Jayant Kumar Patle v. State of Chhattisgarh (WPS No.4271 of 2017 and batch of petitions, vide order dated 03.11.2017) and various directions have been issued for affording proper opportunity of hearing and then decide the matter.

3. Learned Counsel for the State submits that the Petitioner's claim would be examined after affording opportunity of hearing in terms of order dated 03.11.2017 passed in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra).
4. Considering the aforesaid submission, this petition is finally disposed of, with a direction to the Respondents for examination of Petitioner's claim in terms of order passed by this Court in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra) and take appropriate decision thereon.
5. The writ petition stands accordingly disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved