NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR
WPS. No. 8255 of 2018

Krishna Kumar Kashyap S/o Late Shri S.S.Kashyap, Aged About 60 Years Presently Posted As Principal, At District Education And Training Institute Nagri District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh. R/o Village Bhitarras Tahsil Nagri, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, School Education Department Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Director Directorate Of Public Instruction Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. District Education Officer Dhamtari District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh.
4. Narendra Kumar Chandra District Education Officer As Option/objective Bilaspur District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.
5. Ashok Narayan Banjara In-Charge District Education Officer, Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
6. Pramod Kumar Thakur In-Charge District Education Officer Sukma District Sukma Chhattisgarh.
7. Rajesh Kumar Singh, In-Charge District Education Officer, Surajpur District Surajpur Chhattisgarh.
8. I.P. Gupta In-Charge District Education Officer, Balrampur District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Faisal Akhtar, Advocate.
For State	:	Ms. Sunita Jai, PL.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy
Order on Board

13.12.2018

1. The present writ petition has been filed primarily on the grievance of the petitioner that though the petitioner is senior person in the department working as Principal at District Education & Training Institute Nagri, Distt. Dhamtari, C.G, the charge of the District Education Officer lying vacant in the department is being handed over to the person much junior to the petitioner and in the process he has made respondent No. 4 to 8 as respondent who are much junior to the petitioner but have been made in-charge District Education Officer at different Districts.

2. The petitioner has filed the writ petition with a request to give a direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner also for being given the charge of the District Eduction Officer considering his seniority, keeping in view the circular of the State Government dated 04/08/2011.

3. Without commenting anything on the merits of the case so far as the entitlement of the petitioner is concerned, this Court is of the opinion that ends of justice would meet if the writ petition itself could be disposed off with a direction to the respondent No. 1 to consider the grievance of the petitioner in the light of the circular of the State Government dated 04/08/2011.

4. Perusal of the records reveals that the petitioner has not till date made any representation in this regard. Thus the petitioner is therefore directed to file a detailed representation supported with all relevant records to the respondent No. 1 within a period of three weeks from today and the respondent No. 1 in turn is expected to take a decision on the said representation as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the representation to be made by the petitioner.

Sd /-

(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Jamal