

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS. No. 8258 of 2018**

Smt. Sweta Agrawal W/o Manoj Kumar Agrawal Aged About 37 Years
Lecturer (Nagriya Nikay) At Shiv Lal Mehta Govt, Higher Secondary School
Bhatapara, District Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration and Development, Mantralaya, Mahanandi Bhawan, Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Director Department Of Urban Administration and Development, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Secretary Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mantralaya, Mahanandi Bhawan, Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Municipal Officer Municipal Council Bhatapara, District Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Govind Dewangan, Advocate.
For State	:	Ms. Sunita Jai, PL.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****13.12.2018**

1. The dispute raised in the present writ petition is the non-consideration of the case of the petitioner for grant of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of service. The denial to the petitioner was that the petitioner has not completed 8 years of service under the same employer.
2. The grievance of the petitioner was that the petitioner was initially appointed under the Panchayat department and subsequently applied for recruitment on the higher post under the Municipal Council in the year 2009 and taking both the services, the petitioner has completed more than 8 years of service and therefore she is entitled for the benefit of higher pay scale.
3. The counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in a bunch of writ petitions disposed off on 27.10.2018. The lead case of which being WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.)

4. This aspect is not disputed by the State counsel so far as the matter being similar to the one passed in the case of “Shabnum Khatun” (supra).
5. Given the facts and circumstances of the case the present writ petition also deserves to be and is accordingly disposed off in similar terms to the order passed in WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors).
6. The writ petition accordingly stands allowed. The petitioner would be entitled for the benefit as prayed for subject to the verification of the case by the Department.

Sd /-

(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge