

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR
WPC No. 3341 of 2018

Chandra Sekhar Yadav S/o Shri Kesro Yadav Aged About 32 Years R/o House No. 300, Krishak Nagar-1, Jora, Raipur, District- Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. The Union Of India Through Secretary, Ministry Of Road Transport And Highways, Govt. Of India, New Delhi.
2. State Of Chhattisgrah Through Transport Commissioner, Department Of Transport, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Registering Authority/ Regional Transport Officer Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	: Shri Anshul R. Shrivastava, Advocate.
For Respondent/UOI	: Shri B. Gopa Kumar, Assistant Solicitor General.
For Respondent/State	: Shri Prasun Bhaduri, Government Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Ajay Kumar Tripathi, Chief Justice
Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order on Board

05/12/2018

Per Ajay Kumar Tripathi, Chief Justice

1. Heard counsel for the parties.
2. The notification dated 29.12.2016 issued by the Union of India bringing about certain amendments in relation to the kind of fee which can be charged for various services, is under challenge.
3. In the present case, we are not concerned about the other fees which have been notified. The issue is limited to Sr. No.11 which deals with grant or refusal of fitness certificate for which the fees fixed is Rs.200/-, however, the note adds that additional fees of Rs.50/- for each day of delay after expiry shall be levied, which is subject matter of challenge.

4. Reliance has been placed by counsel representing the various Petitioners on a decision rendered by the Division Bench of High Court of Madras in case of *Chennai City Auto Ootunagral Sangam represented by its Secretary Tamilnadu Driving School owners federation represented by its General Secretary, Madra Metro Auto Drivers Association (Affiliated with AITUC) represented by its General Secretary, Vada Chennai Maavatta Auto Ottunargal Padugappu Nalasangam, represented by its General Secretary, Tamilnadu Lorry Owners Federation represented by its President v. Secretary, Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Secretary, Home (Transport), Transport Commissioner*, reported in **2017 (3) MadLJ 769**.

5. Vide the above decision dated 03.04.2017, the said notification has been quashed in part and the matter is now awaiting adjudication by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Civil Appeal No.011216 of 2017.

6. In view of the above situation and position, since the final word in relation to the validity of the central notification is yet to come from the Apex Court, this writ application stands disposed off with an observation that the additional fees in terms of Sr. No.11 in relation to the fitness certificate to be levied after its expiry shall remain in abeyance, however, the obligation and the liabilities to pay the same will depend upon the final opinion which may be rendered by the Apex Court in Civil Appeal No.011216 of 2017.

7. The writ application stands disposed off in view of the above.

Sd/-

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi)
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)
JUDGE