

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 7909 of 2018

1. Arun Kumar Guni S/o Jagdish Chand Guni, Aged About 45 Years Working As Teacher E. (L.B.) And Posted At Government Middle School Kandrapara, Dongargarh, Block Dongargarh, District - Rajnandgoan, Chhattisgarh
2. Smt. Seema Parmar W/o Shri Anil Parmar, Aged About 45 Years Working As Assistant Teacher (Nagriya Nikay) And Posted At Government Primary School No. 1, Dongargarh Block Dongargarh, District - Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Mahanadi Bhawan Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District - Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat, Mahanadi Bhawan Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District - Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Municipal Officer, Municipal Corporation, Dongargarh, District - Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh
4. Block Education Officer, Block Dongargarh, District - Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. G. P. Mathur, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. D. Wankhede, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

03/12/2018

1. The dispute raised in the present writ petition is the non-consideration of the case of the petitioners for grant of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of service. The denial to the

petitioners was that the petitioners have not completed 8 years of service under the same employer.

2. The grievance of the petitioners was that the petitioners were initially appointed under the Panchayat department and subsequently applied for recruitment on the higher post under Nagriya Nikay/Zila Panchayat and taking both the services, the petitioners have completed more than 8 years of service and therefore they are entitled for the benefit of higher pay scale.
3. The counsel for the petitioners further submits that the issue involved in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in a bunch of writ petitions disposed off on 27.10.2018. The lead case of which being WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.)
4. This aspect is not disputed by the State counsel so far as the matter being similar to the one passed in the case of "Shabnum Khatun" (supra).
5. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, the present writ petition also deserves to be and is accordingly disposed off in similar terms to the order passed in WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors).
6. The writ petition accordingly stands allowed. The petitioners would be entitled for the benefit as prayed for subject to the verification of the case by the Department

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Khatai