

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPURWPS No. 7739 of 2018

Sanju Verma S/o Shri Chain Ram Verma, Aged About 29 Years,
Presently Working As Teacher Panchayat, At Govt. Middle School,
Chhirha, Block Kawardha, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner Cum Director, Directorate Of Panchayat, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Chief Municipal Officer, Municipal Council, Kawardha, District Kabirdham Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Kabirdham, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.
5. District Education Officer,. Kabirdham, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For petitioner : Shri C.J.Rao, Advocate.

For State : Shri Chandresh Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

28/11/2018

1. The dispute raised in the present writ petition is the non-consideration of the case of the petitioner for grant of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of service. The denial to the petitioner was that the petitioner has not completed 8 years of service under the same employer.
2. The grievance of the petitioner was that the petitioner was initially appointed under the Municipal Council, Kawardha as a Shiksha Karmi - Grade-III and subsequently applied for recruitment on the higher post under

the Panchayat Department and taking both the services, the petitioner has completed more than 8 years of service and therefore he is entitled for the benefit of higher pay scale.

3. The counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in a bunch of writ petitions disposed off on 27.10.2018. The lead case of which being WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.)

4. This aspect is not disputed by the State counsel so far as the matter being similar to the one passed in the case of “Shabnum Khatun” (supra).

5. Given the facts and circumstances of the case the present writ petition also deserves to be and is accordingly disposed off in similar terms to the order passed in WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors).

6. The writ petition accordingly stands allowed. The petitioner would be entitled for the benefit as prayed for subject to the verification of the case by the Department.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE