

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 7666 of 2018

Uma Shankar Sharma S/o Late Shri Tunde Ram Sharma Aged
About 74 Years W.S. D.W.S. Bilaspur R/o Village Godhanpur Near
Chandu Garage Police Station Gandhi Nagar Ambikapur District
Surguja Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. Chhattisgarh Infrastructure Development Corporation Headquarter
Through Its Managing Director Old PHQ Bhawan 2nd Floor Civil Line
Police Station Civil Line District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Madhya Pradesh Road Transport Corporation Through Its Managing
Director Head Office Habibganj Bhopal Madhya Pradesh

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Vivek Kumar Agrawal, Advocate
For Respondents/CIDC	:	Mr. Ashish Shrivastava, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

26/11/2018

1. Counsel for the petitioner submits that an identical issue came up
before the Coordinate Bench on 15.11.2017 and the same has been
disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to make a fresh
representation to the respondent No.2 and it was further directed
that respondent No.2 shall on receipt the representation take a
prompt decision.
2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that present writ petition also may
be disposed of in similar terms as the grievance raised by the
petitioner is identical.
3. Given the facts and circumstances, this Court feels that the prayer
made by the petitioner to be fair and reasonable. Accordingly, the
present writ petition also is disposed of in similar terms as has been
passed in the WPS No. 6005/2017 vide order dated 15.11.2017.

Accordingly, the petitioner is at liberty to move a representation before the respondent No.2, before whom the claim of the previous set of petitions were under process.

4. On submission of the representation by the petitioner, the respondents shall as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of two months shall verify and if the case of the petitioner is similarly to the previous set of petitions or the petitioners in the case of WPS No. 6005/2017, his claim shall also be processed along with the similarly placed persons, whose writ petitions have already been decided vide orders dated 04.07.2011 and 16.12.2012 in WPS No. 6510/2009 and WA No. 419/2011.
5. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of at the motion stage.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved