

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPC No. 3262 of 2018**

- Kuldeep Chaturvedi S/o Shri Raj Kishor Chaturvedi Aged About 35 Years Proprietor Of Best Security Services, Flat No. 301, Usha Kiran Parisar, Bhatagaon, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh. Through Ravindra Banjare S/o Shri Bhuwan Prasad Banjare, Aged About 26 Years, Post - Supervisor, Best Security Services, R/o Amtara, P.S. Koni, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. Chhattisgarh State Power Transmission Company Limited Through Chief Engineer (T & C), Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Chhattisgarh State Power Transmission Company Limited Through Chief Engineer (Sub Station O & M), Shed No. - 04, Danganiya, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh. 492013
3. Chhattisgarh State Power Transmission Company Limited, Through Executive Engineer, O/o The Chief Engineer (S/s - O & M), Shed No. - 04, Danganiya, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh 492013
4. Chhattisgarh State Power Transmission Company Limited, Through Regional Accounts Officer, Gudhiyari, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
5. Chhattisgarh State Power Transmission Company Limited, Through Regional Accounts Officer, Raipur Road, Tifra, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

For Petitioner

Mr. Achyut Tiwari, Advocate

For Respondent /State

Mrs. Astha Shukla, Panel Lawyer

Order On Board By**Hon'ble Mr. Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra****3/12/2018**

1. The petitioner executed a contract for deployment of private guards for watch and ward in the sub-stations located at various places in the State of Chhattisgarh.
2. According to the petitioner, he has executed the work in accordance with the terms of the Contract yet the security amount of Rs.7,55,343/- has not been refunded to the petitioner despite the No Dues Certificate issued by the concerned Regional Officer, Chhattisgarh State Power Transmission Company, Raipur on 6.6.2018.
3. Considering the entire facts situation of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with direction that in the event, the petitioner moves before respondent No.1 within a period of 3 weeks from today, the said respondent shall consider and decide the representation seeking refund of the security deposit, by a speaking order within a period of next 6 weeks.

Sd/-

(Prashant Kumar Mishra)

Judge

Shyna