

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 7591 of 2018

Smt. Shashi Pathak (Before Marriage Shashi Tiwari) W/o Shri Jayant Kumar Pathak Aged About 30 Years, Working As Lecturer (Nagriya Niyak) And Posted At Ajak Girls Higher Secondary School Pratappur, District Surajpur Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Chief Municipal Officer, Nagar Panchayat Pratappur, District Surajpur Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Chandresh Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

19/11/2018

1. The dispute raised in the present writ petition is the non-consideration of the case of the petitioner for grant of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of service. The denial to the petitioner was that the petitioner has not completed 8 years of service under the same employer.
2. The grievance of the petitioner was that the petitioner was initially appointed under the Panchayat department and subsequently applied for recruitment on the higher post under the Municipal Council in the year 2013 and taking both the services, the petitioner has completed more than 8 years of service and [therefore she is](#) entitled for the benefit of higher pay scale.

3. The counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in a bunch of writ petitions disposed off on 27.10.2018. The lead case of which being WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.)
4. This aspect is not disputed by the State counsel so far as the matter being similar to the one passed in the case of "Shabnum Khatun" (supra).
5. Given the facts and circumstances of the case the present writ petition also deserves to be and is accordingly disposed off in similar terms to the order passed in WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors).
6. The writ petition accordingly stands allowed. The petitioner would be entitled for the benefit as prayed for subject to the verification of the case by the Department

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved