

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (PIL) No. 88 of 2018**

1. Rupesh Sadafale S/o Late Shri Madhikar Rao Aged About 46 Years By Profession Advocate, R/o Choube Colony, Bandhvapara Sarkanda, Bilaspur, P.S. Sarkanda, Bilaspur, Tahsil And District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.
2. Santosh Singh Parihar S/o Late Shri Shankar Singh Pariahar Aged About 47 Years Editor Khabar Chhattisgarh R/o Behind Basant Complex Seepat Road Jorapara, Sarkanda, P.S. Sarkanda Bilaspur, Tahsil And District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Chief Secretary, State of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhavan, Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Principal Secretary, Samanya Prashashnik Vibhag, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhavan, Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Principal Secretary Vitta Vibhag Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhavan, Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh.
4. Secretary, Jan Shikayat Nivaran Vibhag Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhavan, Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh.
5. Up-Sachiv Cum Jan Suchana Adhikari, Samanya Prashashnik Vibhag, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhavan, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
6. Vishesh Sachiv Cum Jan Suchana Apeeleeeya Adhikar, Samanya Prashashnik Vibhag Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhavan, Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh.
7. Shri Aman Kumar Singh, Principal Secretary, Mukhyamantri Sachivalaya, Chhattisgarh Shansan Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh.
8. Shri Vikram Singh Sisodiya Officer On Special Duty (OSD), Mukhyamantri Sacivalaya, Chhattisgarh Shasan Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh.

9. Shri Sanjeev Singh Bakhsi Up - Sachiv, Mukhyamantri Sacivalaya, Chhattisgarh
Shasan Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	: Shri Suresh Pandey, Advocate.
For Respondent/State	: Shri A.S.Kachhwaha, Additional Advocate General

Hon'ble Shri Ajay Kumar Tripathi, Chief Justice
Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order on Board

Per Ajay Kumar Tripathi, Chief Justice

28/11/2018

1. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Additional Advocate General for the State.
2. This Court is not inclined to entertain the present PIL looking at the nature of relief. Such matters also fall within the realm of governance and policy decision in which the Court will not intervene or interfere. Matters may be brought to the notice of the appropriate authorities who are the best judge to decide whether continuance of a person on contract is needed in the interest of administration or their services can be dispensed with.
3. The PIL is otherwise dismissed.

Sd/-
(Ajay Kumar Tripathi)
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
JUDGE