

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPURWPS No. 8184 of 2018

1. Krishna Chand Nayak S/o Late Yudhister Nayak, Aged About 41 Years, R/o House No I 10, Shivaji Nagar, Korba, District Korba Chhattisgarh.
2. Jamuna Kalet S/o Late Jagdish Kalet, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Shivaji Nagar, Korba, District Korba Chhattisgarh.
3. Ramesh Sarthi S/o Late Sukalu Sarthi, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Post - Rampur, Kartala, District Korba Chhattisgarh.
4. Sushila Sarthi W/o Late Hari Sarthi, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Girls Hostel Bhaisma, Police Station Korba, District Korba Chhattisgarh.
5. Mina Bai Sarthi W/o Ramayan Sarthi, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Girls Asram Tilkeja, Korba, District Korba, Chhattisgarh.
6. Smt. Sarita W/o Sagar, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Near Ara Mashin, Niharika, District Korba, Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department Of School Education Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Police Station - Mandir Hasaund, Atal Nagar, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Director, Lok Sikshan Sanchalaya, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Collector Korba, District Korba Chhattisgarh.
4. District Education Officer, Korba, District Korba, Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For petitioner	:	Shri Ashutosh Shukla, Advocate.
For State	:	Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

11/12/2018

1. Heard.
2. The petitioners, who were appointed as Part Time Sweeper on a fixed salary of Rs.1465/- the then existing collector rate, have filed this petition for

a direction that they are entitled for grant of minimum wages as per minimum wage fixed vide letter dated 25-04-2013.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a representation has also been moved by the petitioners for grant of minimum wages, however, the same are not being extended to the petitioners.

4. The order of appointment of the petitioners show that they were appointed as Part Time Sweeper in the year 2011 on wages applicable in case of Part Time Sweeper, on collector rates. Therefore, if the collector wages have been revised, the case of the petitioners is also required to be considered for grant of appropriate and existing minimum wages prescribed by the Collector. Accordingly, the petitioners' case should also be considered and appropriate orders in their case should be passed by the respondent No.4 for grant of appropriate wages, according to current rate of wages applicable to the category of employment, in which the petitioners are employed.

5. With the aforesaid observations, the petition is finally disposed off.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE

Sumit