

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPC No. 3228 of 2018

- Ad. Construction H. No. 1, Geedam Maharana Pratap Nagar 16, Tahsil Geedam, District Dantewada, Chhattisgarh 494441, Through Proprietor Ajay Dutta S/o Shri R. R. Dutta, Aged About 28 Years, H. No. 1, Geedam Maharana Pratap Nagar, 16, Tahsil Geedam District Dantewada, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Public Works Department Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Collector and District Magistrate District Bijapur, Chhattisgarh., District : Bijapur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Chief Engineer Public Works Department, Bijapur, District Bijapur, Chhattisgarh., District : Bijapur, Chhattisgarh
4. Keystone Infra Private Ltd. Education City Project, Bijapur, District Bijapur, Chhattisgarh. Through Its Authorized Person., District : Bijapur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

WPC No. 3234 of 2018

- Ad Construction H. No. 1, Geedam Maharana Pratap Nagar 16, Tahsil Geedam, District Dantewada Chhattisgarh 494441, Through Proprietor-Ajay Dutta S/o R. R. Dutta, Aged About 28 Years, H.No. 1, Geedam Maharana Pratap Nagar 16, Tahsil Geedam, District Dantewada (Chhattisgarh), District : Dantewada, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Public Works Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Collector and District Magistrate, District Bijapur Chhattisgarh, District : Bijapur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Bijapur, District Bijapur Chhattisgarh, District : Bijapur, Chhattisgarh

4. G.V.R. Construction, Education City Project Bijapur, District Bijapur Chhattisgarh Through Its Authorized Person, District : Bijapur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

For Petitioner
For Respondent-State

Shri Alok Nigam, Advocate
Shri Shashank Thakur, GA

Hon'ble Justice Mr. Prashant Kumar Mishra

Order On Board

28/11/2018

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of the Court to withdraw the writ petitions with liberty to pursue the remedy before the concerned Collector.
2. It is made clear that this Court has not made any opinion as to the issue as to whether the Collector has jurisdiction in the matter or not.
3. Accordingly, the writ petitions are dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

Sd/-
Prashant Kumar Mishra
Judge

Nirala