

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 7573 of 2018**

- Lekhram Sahu, Son of Suklal Sahu, aged about 53 years, working as Upper Division Teacher and posted at Govt. Middle School Rajasewaiyakhurd, Block Pithora, District Mahasamund (C.G.) resident of Ward No. 09, Pithoura, District Mahasamund (C.G.)

---- **Petitioner****Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: Secretary, Department of School Education Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
2. Accountant General of Chhattisgarh, Zero Point, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
3. District Education Officer, Mahasamund, District Mahasamund (C.G.)

---- **Respondents**

For Petitioner	:	Shri Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate
For Respondents/State	:	Shri Dheeraj Wankhede, Govt. Advocate & Shri Rajkumar Gupta, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****19.11.2018**

1. The claim sought for by the petitioner in the present writ petition is alleged to entry in GPF account which reflects a withdrawal of Rs.50,000/- from the GPF account of the petitioner.
2. The contention of the petitioner is that there has been no withdrawal made from his side and the said entry in the recording of the office of Accountant General is bad and erroneous. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter was inquired at the block education level and the Block Education Officer has given the report that no such

withdrawal was ever made by the petitioner.

3. Given the nature of dispute it only requires verification of the records maintained with the employer i.e. State Government. Let the respondent No. 2 once again get the GPF account of the petitioner verified from the respondent No.3 who, in turn, shall make inquiry in respect of alleged withdrawal and given a report whether any withdrawal is reflected in the GPF account of the petitioner and give an appropriate report to respondent No.2 who shall thereafter pass an appropriate order for redressing the grievance of the petitioner. If required, the petitioner may also approach to respondent No.2 to clarify the facts on the basis of record available with him. Let this exercise be done within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. In view of the above directions, the writ petition stands disposed of.

**Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge**