

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****Writ Petition (S) No. 7419 of 2018**

1. Yogesh Kumar Rathore S/o Shri Firatram Rathore, Aged About 37 Years, Working As Lecturer E. (L.B.) And Posted At Government Girls Higher Secondary School Akaltara, Block Akaltara, District - Janjgir - Champa, Chhattisgarh
2. Vijay Kumar Sahu S/o Shri Mahettar Sahu, Aged About 46 Years Working As Lecturer E. (L.B.) And Posted At Government Girls Higher Secondary School Akaltara, Block Akaltara, District - Janjgir - Champa, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration And Development Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, District - Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, District - Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Municipal Officer, Municipal Corporation Akaltara, District - Janjgir - Champa, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Vinod Kumar Sharma, Advocate
For Respondents	:	Ms. Sunita Jain, P.L. and Shri G. D. Waswani, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****13/11/2018**

The grievance of the petitioners in the present writ petition is the non granting of revised pay scale on their completing 8 years of service.

2. The petitioners herein were initially appointed in the Panchayat Department and subsequently have been again taken in the Urban Administration Department. However, the Department is not accepting the total length of service rendered by the petitioners both under the Panchayat Department as well as under the Urban Administration Department for the purpose of granting of revised pay scale .

3. Counsel for the parties fairly submit that the issue raised in the present writ petition stands squarely covered by a bunch of writ petitions decided by this Court on 27.10.2018, the leading case being WPS No. 6147 of 2018 (Shabnum Khatun Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others).

4. Given the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the present writ petition also deserves to be and is accordingly disposed of in similar terms as in the case of Shabnum Khatun (supra). The petitioners would be entitled for the benefit as prayed for subject to verification of the case by the Department so far as the length of service and other eligibility criteria is concerned.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE